Agraarteadus 2 * XXVIII * 2017 106–112



Journal of Agricultural Science 2 * XXVIII * 2017 106–112

MORPHOLOGICAL LODGE OF DESI COTTON (GOSSYPIUM ARBOREUM L.) GENOTYPES AND STAGE-MANAGE BY PLANTING LOG UNDER DRY TROPICAL PROSPECT

Karim Jan¹, Muhammad Waseem¹, Dost Mohammad Baloch¹, Abdul Hameed Baloch¹, Mustajab A. Khan², Taimoor Khan¹, Muhammad Rashid¹, Qurban Ali³

^{1*}Faculty of Agriculture, Lasbela University of Agriculture, Water and Marine Science, Uthal, Lasbela, Pakistan ²Department of Soil Science, Balochistan Agriculture College, Quetta, Pakistan ³Centre of Excellence in Molecular Biology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

Saabunud:	11.05.17	AB
Aktsenteeritud		mai
Accepted:	19.12.17	aim
1		mo
Avaldatud veebis:	20 12 17	daa
Published online:	20.12.17	three
T 7		Sa-
Vastutav autor:	Muhammad	Δm
e-mail: waseem 1028@	vahoo com	Mo
e man. waseem_1020@	yanoo.com	300
Keywords: coastal c	limate; desi	trai
cotton (Gossypium art	boreum L.);	bra
growth, yield componen	ts.	nur
Link http://agrt.emu.ee/	/ndf/	per
2017_2_	jan.pdf	rati
·		var
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/	0// 15 11	cap
10.1515	9/jas.17.11	sigi
		gen
		sig
		cha
		per
		see
		pos
		sigi
		res
		per
		yıe.

STRACT. Planting log is the most considerable factor which directly nipulates the plant traits under naturally prevailing environment. The n of the trial was to ensure the influence of planting hiatus on the rphological cabin of Desi cotton (Gossypium arboreum L.) varieties der dry tropical coast. The research was carried out during 2016 on three i cotton genotypes C₁ (FDH-512), C₂ (FDH-502), C₃ (FDH-170) under ee-fortnightsowing regimes $(S_1 = 15. \text{ March}, S_2 = 1. \text{ April} \text{ and}$ = 15. April) at agronomy research area in the Lasbela University of riculture, Water and Marine Science, Uthal, Lasbela, Pakistan. omentous results were originated for different morphological traits cording to the arid environments. Significant results were observed for its *i.e.*; number of monopodial branches, number of sympodial nches, number of capsule per plant, number of seeds per capsule, nber of locules per capsule, number of seeds per locules, weight of seed capsule, seed colour, seed yield per plant, lint percentage, root shoot io (%), root depth (cm) for various sowing dates and desi cotton ieties. Results of the traits like *i.e.* the number of locules and per osule, a number of seeds per locules was yielded completely nonnificant outcomes both for the diverse sowing period and desi cotton notypes. The interaction between the both factors was found to be nonnificant in all traits. The correlation amongst cotton individual aracteristics was observed, it was found that capsules per plant and lint centage, monopodial branches per plant, root shoot ratio, root depth, d weight per capsule and seed yield per plant were significantly and sitively correlated. The seed yield and lint percentage was also nificantly correlated, which showed that selection may be positive ponsive in sense of lint percentage, monopodial branches, seed yield plant, capsules per plant and seed weight per capsule to get a superior ld of cotton. Under the existing dry climatic condition, it was found that the finest planting window of 15. April for the desi cotton FDH-170 is most suitable for its cultivation.

© 2017 Akadeemiline Põllumajanduse Selts. Kõik õigused kaitstud. 2017 Estonian Academic Agricultural Society. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is a significant fibre yielding crop of the world. It belongs to the family Malvaceae, grown in tropical and subtropical regions of more than 80 countries all over the world. (Balasubramniyan, Palaniappan, 2007). Cotton is an important source of earning and for foreign trade is very

significant. The cotton crop share in GDP is 7.1% and account used for 1.5% in the agriculture sector. The area under cotton crop increased nearly 2961 thousand hectares from 2014 to 2015. The cotton production raised at 13.98 million bales (GOP, 2014–15).

The cotton growth and yield also depend on sowing time, if sowing is late, then its potential yield is reduced. During early sowing of the crop, the temperature is low and it will decrease plant metabolic process (Deho *et al.*, 2012).

One of the most significant agronomic considerations for growers is to maximize yield and superiority is to select a suitable sowing time for cotton crop. The best time of cotton sowing in a particular area can often be complicated, that must strike equilibrium as it is a decision between sowing too early and lasting problems related to cold weather or sowing too late and losing possible yield. The cold weather during sowing or too early sowing can mostly slow crop growth, frequently leading to the poor establishment and poor early growth. Moreover, the many seedlings of cotton expose to diseases (Ali et al., 2004). Sowing time has very significant role in realizing greatest seed cotton yield in a country like Pakistan, where the climatic impression varies from region to the province. Cotton yield can be adequately improved if the most favourable time for sowing in the exacting zone is well known. Late sowing increases the period among sowing to seedling appearance, (first square, flower, and opening boll) and plant survival also decreases. Evaluated and observed the effects of time of sowing (May $1^{st} \text{ and } 29^{th})$ on the growth and yield of cotton (F846 and desi cotton LD327). Desi cotton recorded superior values for plant height, chlorophyll and stem dry matter content, followed by American cotton. Late sowing resulted in importantly lower yield than early and optimal sowing. Ali et al. (2009) showed that the maximum seed cotton yield of 2039 kg ha-1 was obtained on May 15th sowing followed by 1669 kg ha⁻¹ and 1847 kg ha⁻¹ sown on May 1st and May 30th, correspondingly. For receiving high-quality seed cotton yield, cotton might plant in the month of May (Bhaskar et al., 2005).

Maximum yield of cotton was not realized until that time due to many problems, such as, pest insects, weed infestation and diseases, water shortage, excessive salinity, short seed of germination, appropriate sowing methods, poor practices of soil management, premature flowers and boll shedding, too late or too early sowing on and offensive used of cultivars in special agro-ecological zones. Proper sowing instance play essential role in yield outcomes. Proposed first on trial on desi cotton was carried out below the dry tropical environmental condition of district Lasbela, Pakistan under irrigated conditions. A field experiment was conducted for the objective to assess the planting interval on the morphological features and yield of Desi cotton (Gossypium arboreum L.) under a dry coastal system of Lasbela, Pakistan.

Materials and Methods

Lasbela is a coastal district of Balochistan falls on the bank of Arabian Sea. It falls in the arid zones of southern Balochistan. The present field trial was conducted during 2016 at agronomy research area located near Faculty of Agriculture, Lasbela University of Agriculture, Water and Marine Science (LUAWMS) Uthal, Lasbela Pakistan. For determining soil fertility status composite soil samples were collected before sowing of the cotton and after harvesting of the cotton. The experimental soil was loamy in texture with slightly alkaline pH as given in Table 1. The experiments consisted of three genotypes of Desi Cotton (C₁ (FDH-512), C₂ (FDH-502), C₃ (FDH-170)) with a three planting pane ($S_1 = 15$. March, $S_2 = 1$. April and $S_3 =$ 15. April). The crop was cultivated on well-prepared seed bed with dibbler methods at field capacity. The inter-row distance was 75 cm while interplant distance was 20 cm. Each of the three treatments has received a suggested and uniform dose of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) fertilizer; applied at the rate of 120:60:60 NPK ha⁻¹. Before sowing, a uniform dose of all the amount of phosphate and potash fertilizer (source Diammonium Phosphate and Potassium Sulphate) was applied at the rate 60 kg ha⁻¹, while half nitrogen fertilizer in the form of urea was applied with first irrigation in each treatment. The leftover dose of nitrogen fertilizer was applied in two different splits, first dose after 30 days and remaining at flowering.

After first irrigation, the crops were irrigated at an interval 8 to 24 days till maturity of crop depending upon the crop requisite and climatic conditions. Weeds were controlled manually by hoeing. All the other agronomic practices were carried out uniformly in all treatments. A local climatic data was collected from meteorology department of LUAWMS. The crop was harvested on the 1. Oct. 2016. The following growth parameters i.e. no. of monopodial branches, no. of sympodial branches, no. of capsule per plant, no. of seed per capsule, no. of locules per capsule, no. of seed per locules, weight of seed per capsule, seed yield per plant, lint percentage (%), root shoot ratio (%), root depth (cm) and correlation amongst cotton traits were recorded by using standard procedures and statistical analyses of variance (ANOVA) by applying F variance method Fisher's analysis and Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 5% probability level (Steel et al., 1997). Statistic duration of whole crop season different month's climatic data Figure 1 (a, b) were accessed from the meteorological department situated in the vicinity of Lasbela University.

Table 1. Chemical analysis of soil sample before and after cotton harvesting

Determina	ation	pН	Electrical conductivity, dSm ⁻¹	Organic matter, %	Nitrogen, %	Phosphorus, ppm	Potassium, ppm
Sample 1	Before	7.46	1.8	0.21	0.79	2.4	0.23
	After	7.02	1.01	0.17	0.54	1.98	0.19
Sample 2	Before	7.34	1.33	0.23	0.33	1.25	0.21
	After	6.99	1.13	0.18	0.21	1.13	0.18
Sample 3	Before	7.51	1.40	0.17	0.21	1.10	0.25
-	After	7.23	1.26	0.09	0.19	1.01	0.17



Figure 1a. Rainfall and wind speed variations during cropping season in 2016

Results

Number of monopodial branches per plant

According to the statistical results, monopodial branch in desi cotton was found to be significant for different sowing dates as presented in Table 2. In S₃ treatment, maximum number of monopodial branches per plant (23.0) was documented, compared to other planting dates, where less monopodial branches in S₁ and S₂ treatment was statistically at par with each other. Desi cotton influenced towards genotypes was also confirmed highly significant result as given in (Table 2). Desi cotton variety $C_3 = FDH-170$ produced a higher number of monopodial branches per plant (22.10). Minimum monopodial branches tendency was determined in desi cotton $C_2 = FDH-502$ and $C_1 = FDH-512$ respectively and there was no significant difference among both varieties. Main climatic factor such as temperature and photoperiod enhanced the number of branches per plant for various sowing interval and for different cotton genotypes researcher was found similar results (Aslam et al., 2013). The nonsignificant interaction between the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was recorded (Table 2).

 Table 2. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the monopodial branches per plant

Variation	Sowing date			
varieties	$S_1 = 15.$ Marcl	$h S_2 = 1. April$	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	13.66	14.66	20.66	16.32 ^B
$C_2 = FDH-502$	15.33	18.66	21.00	18.33 ^B
$C_3 = FDH-170$	18.66	20.33	27.33	22.10 ^A
Mean	15.88 ^b	17.88 ^b	23.00 ^a	

Different capital letters (A, B) in a column and lowercase letters (a, b) in a row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Number of sympodial branches per plant

The results showed that the sowing dates impact on the number of sympodial branches in desi cotton significantly (Table 3). The highest number of sympodial branches per plant (2.67) was found in S₁ treatment as compared to other corresponding sowing time such as S₂ and S₃ treatment which was statistically at par with each other. The non-significant result was recorded by different desi cotton genotypes C₁ = FDH-512, C₂ = FDH-502 and C₃ = FDH-170 (Table 3). Vertically raised, the temperature and photoperiod enhanced the sympodial branches per plant for different



Figure 1b. Soil temperature, dryness and wet conditions variability during experimental season in 2016

sowing dates. These findings are closely related to the reports of Nehra *et al.* (1986), Aslam *et al.* (2013). The interaction between the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was established to be non-significant (Table 3).

Table 3. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the sympodial branches per plant

Variation	Sowing date			
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	2.33	2.66	1.66	2.22 ^A
$C_2 = FDH-502$	3.00	1.66	2.66	2.44_{A}
$C_3 = FDH-170$	2.66	1.66	1.33	1.89 _A
Mean	2.67 ^a	2.00 ^b	1.89 ^b	

Similar capital letter A in the column indicate P > 0.05 and lowercase letters (a, b) in row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Number of capsule per plant

Results in Table 4 proved that sowing dates were highly significant for a number of capsules per plant in desi cotton. The treatment S_3 was relentedgreatest number of capsule per plant (34.00) as compared to another sowing which was followed by S₂ treatment. Least numbers of capsule per plant (23.33) were examined in S₁ treatment. As for as desi cotton genotypes comparisons were also established very significant result as specified in Table 4. Desi cotton array $C_3 = FDH-170$ generated a superior number of capsule per plant (33.56) and the second tendency was followed by cotton $C_2 = FDH-502$ as contrasted to lowest (23.00) in cotton selection $C_1 = FDH-512$. Regularly increased temperature and daylenght enhanced the additional numbers of capsules per plant in various sowing intervals and cotton genotypes. These results are comparable to another report of Awan et al. (2011). Interaction amongst the sowing dates and desi cotton assortments was instituted to be non-significant (Table 4).

 Table 4. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the number of capsule per plant

Variation		Sowing of	late	
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. Mat	$\operatorname{sch} S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	18.00	19.33	31.66	23.00 ^C
$C_2 = FDH-502$	24.66	27.33	30.66	27.22 ^B
$C_3 = FDH-170$	28.33	32.66	39.66	33.56 ^A
Mean	23.33°	26.44 ^b	34.00 ^a	

Different capital letters (A, B, C) in a column and lowercase letters (a, b, c) in a row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Number of seeds per capsule

Number of seeds in each capsule in desi cotton was statistically significant for sowing dates (Table 5). The maximum number of seeds per capsule (28.67) was produced in S_1 treatment compared to S_2 and S_3 . There was no significant dissimilarity for seed number in each capsule respectively, but lowest as compared to $(S_1 =$ 15. March).Non-significant results were found in desi cotton genotypes for seed account in each capsule. It statistically resulted in similar findings. The pronounced effect of climatic factors was observed on different planting interval but in the case of cotton varieties there was no notable effect was noticed. These results are reported similar by (Aslam et al., 2013). Data in (Table 5) showed that interaction with the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was set up nonsignificant.

 Table 5. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the number of seeds per capsule

Variation	Sowing date			
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	26.00	20.00	26.66	24.22 ^A
$C_2 = FDH\text{-}502$	31.33	22.66	24.89	26.11 ^A
$C_3 = FDH-170$	28.66	25.66	24.00	26.11 ^A
Mean	28.67 ^a	22.78 ^b	25.00 ^b	

Similar capital letter A in columns indicate P > 0.05 and lowercase letters (a, b) in rows implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Number of locules per capsule

Quantities of locules per capsule were found to be non-significant for both various sowing dates and desi cotton genotypes (Table 6). A number of locules per capsule in desi cotton is fundamental for its genetic characters of each variety in which no alteration was concluded due to different sowing dates and variable climatic factors. The interaction among the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was found to be anonsignificant for number of locules per capsule (Table 6).

 Table 6. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties

 on the number of locules per capsule

Varieties		Sowing da	nte	
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	3.00	3.33	3.66	3.33 ^A
$C_2 = FDH-502$	3.33	3.66	3.33	3.56 ^A
$C_3 = FDH-170$	3.33	3.66	3.33	3.44 ^A
Mean	3.33ª	3.44 ^a	3.56ª	

Similar capital letter A in a column and lowercase letter a in row implicate non-significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Number of seeds per locule

Table 7 specifies the non-significant difference for the number of seeds per locule indifferent sowing dates $(S_1, S_2 \text{ and } S_3 \text{ treatment})$ and desi cotton genotypes $(C_1 = \text{FDH-512}, C_2 = \text{FDH-502}, C_3 = \text{FDH-170})$. Genetic traits were strongly determined that there was no change in number of seeds per locule if they are planted at different times and under variable climatic conditions. Seilsepour *et al.* (2012) were reported similar findings. Relations between the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties were existed to be non-significant.
 Table 7. Influence of planting interval and desi cotton varieties

 on the number of seeds per locules

Variation		Sowing da	ite	
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$\overline{C_1} = FDH-512$	7.66	7.66	8.00	7.78 ^A
$C_2 = FDH-502$	8.66	8.00	7.33	8.00 ^A
$C_3 = FDH-170$	6.66	8.66	9.00	8.11 ^A
Mean	7.67 ^a	8.11 ^a	8.11 ^a	
Similar capital	letter A in a co	olumn and low	vercase letter in	a row

implicate non-significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Seeds weight per capsule

The results according to planting dates demonstrated that the weight of the seeds per capsule was statistically significant (Table 8). The treatment S_3 showed highest weight of seeds per capsule (2.07 g) which was followed by S_2 and S_1 treatment. Desi cotton varieties effect was yielded non-significant outcomes.

 Table 8. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the weight (g) of seeds per capsule

Variation	Sowing date			
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	1.79	1.86	2.03	1.90 ^A
$C_2 = FDH-502$	1.87	1.92	2.05	1.94 ^A
$C_3 = FDH-170$	1.78	1.96	2.12	1.95 ^A
Mean	1.81 ^b	1.91 ^b	2.07 ^a	

Similar capital letter A in a column indicate P > 0.05 and lowercase letters (a, b) in a row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Steady increasing temperature and other climatic factors enhanced the weight of seeds per capsule. Seilsepour *et al.* (2012) were accounted corresponding findings. Interaction among the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was found to be non-significant.

Seed yield per plant

A significant effect of sowing dates was observed for the seed yield per plant in desi cotton (Table 9). The treatment S_3 was fabricated highest seed yield per plant (154.56 g) which was followed by second sowing date ($S_2 = 1$. April). Lowest seed yield per plant (143.83 g) were screened in treatment S_1 as compared to another sowing interval. Effect of desi cotton genotypes on seed yield per plant was non-significant. Seed yield per plant was greatly influenced by climatic factors, especially temperature in various cultivation times. Similar outcomes are publicized in report of Ali *et al.* (2003). Interaction among the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was found to be non-significant.

 Table 9. Influence of planting interval and desi cotton varieties

 on the seed yield per plant (g)

Variation		Sowing o	late	
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	142.58	150.63	154.78	149.33 ^A
$C_2 = FDH-502$	144.02	146.67	154.43	148.37 ^A
$C_3 = FDH-170$	144.90	150.80	154.46	150.05 ^A
Mean	143.83 ^c	149.36 ^b	154.56 ^a	

Similar capital letter A in a columns indicate P > 0.05 and lowercase letters (a, b, c) in a row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Lint percentage

Sowing dates results showed that lint percentage in desi cotton was statistically significant (Table 10). Maximum lint percentage (40.41%) was yielded per plant in treatment S₃ which was followed by S₂ sowing. Lowest lint percentage (32.29%) was noted in S₁ treatment. Lint percentage was showed non-significant results for desi cotton varieties C1 = FDH-512, C₂ = FDH-502 and C₃ = FDH-170. Increasing temperature and daylight enhanced the lint percentage per plant for different sowing times, but showed no influence over the cotton varieties. Interaction among the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was found to be non-significant. Seilsepour *et al.* (2012) were reported corresponding findings.

Table 10. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the lint percentage (%) $% \left(\left({{{\bf{x}}_{i}}} \right) \right)$

Variation	Sowing date			
varieties	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	32.22	34.61	39.96	35.60 ^A
$C_2 = FDH-502$	30.71	35.43	40.48	35.54 ^A
$C_3 = FDH-170$	33.94	36.74	38.78	37.15 ^A
Mean	32.29 ^c	35.59 ^b	40.41 ^a	

Similar capital letter A in a column indicate P > 0.05 and lowercase letters (a, b, c) in a row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Root shoot ratio

Sowing times showed that the root shoot ratio in desi cotton was statistically highly significant (Table 11). Highest root shoot ratio (4.51%) was located in S₃ treatment sowing and similar trends were followed by S₂ treatment. Lowest root shoot ratio (2.79%) was found in S₁ treatment. Desi cotton genotypes effect was also confirmed significant end results as shown in Table 11. Desi cotton variety $C_3 = FDH-170$ turned out higher root shoot ratio (4.70%) and that propensity was recounted by cotton genotype $C_2 = FDH-502$. As distinguish to lowest (2.40%) root shoot ratio in cotton variety by $C_1 = FDH-512$. Gradual increasing temperature and photoperiod enhanced the number of branches per plant, so plants interval strongly influenced the cotton sowing. These conclusions are exposed by similar description of Seilsepour et al. (2012). The interaction between the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was found to be non-significant.

 Table 11. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the root shoot ratio (%)

Varieties		Sowing da	te	
	$S_1 = 15$. March	$S_2 = 1$. April	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean
$C_1 = FDH-512$	1.39	2.07	3.09	2.41 ^c
$C_2 = FDH-502$	2.70	4.09	4.36	3.72 ^B
$C_3 = FDH-170$	4.29	4.04	5.43	4.71 ^A
Mean	2.79 ^b	3.52 ^b	4.51 ^a	
Different conit	al lattars (A B)	C in a column	and lowercase	lattare

Different capital letters (A, B, C) in a column and lowercase letters (a, b) in a row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Root depth

Non-significant results were recorded for root depth (cm) in desi cotton for different sowings (Table 12). Influence of desi cotton varieties on root depth was significant as given in Table 12. Desi cotton variety $C_3 = FDH-170$ was provided higher root depth (23.27 cm) and this tendency was followed by cotton genotype $C_2 = FDH-502$. As contrasted to the lowest root depth (69.30 cm) noted in cotton variety $C_1 = FDH-512$. Generally, a non-significant influence of climatic factors was observed for three sowing dates (Table 12), while it is significant for cotton genotypes. Interaction among the sowing dates and desi cotton varieties was found to be non-significant. These conclusions are exposed similar description of Ali *et al.* (2011).

 Table 12. Influence of planting date and desi cotton varieties on the root depth (cm)

Varieties	Sowing date						
	$S_1 = 15. Marc$	$h S_2 = 1. April$	$S_3 = 15$. April	Mean			
$C_1 = FDH-512$	73.48	66.23	68.23	69.31 ^B			
$C_2 = FDH-502$	71.15	74.46	72.75	72.79 ^в			
$C_3 = FDH\text{-}170$	82.16	82.16	85.05	83.27 ^A			
Mean	75.69 ^a	74.22 ^a	75.46 ^a				

Different capital letters (A, B) in a column and lowercase letters (a, b) in a row implicate significant difference at least 0.05 level.

Correlation among characteristics of desi cotton

The judgment of Table 13 confirmed the correlations amongst cotton traits. It was found that capsules per plant and lint percentage, monopodial branches per plant, root shoot ratio, root depth, seed weight per capsule and seed yield per plant were significantly and positively correlated with each other.

Table 13. Correlation of different yield and yield characteristics of desi cotton

Traits	CupPP	Lint	LuPCup	MBP	RSRatio	Rdepth	SPB	SPCup	SWPCup	SPLu
Lint	0.622*							*	*	
LuPCup	0.105	0.103								
MBP	0.923*	0.565*	0.043							
RSRatio	0.828*	0.489*	0.111	0.788*						
Rdepth	0.490*	0.147	-0.131	0.527*	0.568*					
SPB	-0.378*	-0.399*	0.320*	-0.343*	-0.332*	-0.355*				
SPCup	0.007	-0.252*	0.414*	-0.058	-0.012	-0.025	0.348*			
SWPCup	0.582*	0.400*	0.180	0.650*	0.469*	0.084	-0.114	0.213		
SPLu	0.241*	0.150	0.154	0.161	-0.129	0.030	-0.223	0.019	0.156	
SYP	0.514*	0.653*	0.074	0.448*	0.410*	-0.107	-0.251*	-0.252*	0.470*	0.206

* = significant at 5% probability level; Lint = Lint Percentage, CupPP = Capsule per plant, LuPCup = Locules per capsule, MBP = Monopodial branches per plant, SPB = Sympodial branches per plant, SPCup = Seeds per capsule, RSRatio = Root shoot ratio, RDepth = root depth, SWPCup = Seed weight per capsule, SYP = seed yield per plant, SPLu = seeds per locule

The well-emerged correlation between capsules per plant and monopodial branches per plant showed that the cotton various traits may use to improve cotton yield. The seed yield and lint percentage were also significantly correlated, which showed that selection may give positive response in sense of lint percentage, monopodial branches, seed yield per plant, capsules per plant and seed weight per capsule to get a better yield of cotton.

Discussion

Cotton is a fibre crop in Pakistan. Also more than 70% of edible oil requirements meet by cotton seed in Pakistan. Plant growths mostly rely on environmental factors, changes in weather condition, management, genotypes characteristics and planting pattern. Weather is the foremost feature of crop growth and yield in any particular area and it diverges from small scale to large agro-ecological zone (Manjunatha et al., 2010). The weather features like rainfall, soil temperature, and wind speed, dry and wet conditions of the soil considerably change during the whole planting seasons as shown in different graph curve by Stepan et al. (2004). Rainfall and temperature during the whole planting season promote generally all the genotypes influenced by planting time under the dry coastal tropical environments (Saranga, 2001). However, the best cultivation time of desi cotton (Gossypium arboreum L.) is 15. April under existing environment conditions. Growth behaviour and yield components of desi cotton (FDH-170) were uppermost in the case of planting at 15. April. Remaining two planting dates of desi cotton, such as 15. March and 1. April, has showed no significant results as compared to the 15. April sowing which was closely related to (Munir et al., 2015; Nadeem et al., 2010). A significant result was observed for different traits, such as number of monopodial branches, number of sympodial branches, number of capsule per plant, number of seeds per capsule, number of locules per capsule, number of seeds per locules, Weight of seeds per capsule, seeds yield per plant, lint percentage, root shoot ratio, root depth for various sowing dates and desi cotton varieties (Saleem et al., 2011; Jagtap et al., 2010; Ghule et al., 2013). Findings of the traits like a number of locules and seeds per capsule, the number of seeds per locules was yielded non-significant outcomes both of the diverse sowing periods (Usman et al., 2016) and desi cotton genotypes (Savakumariand, Mohan, 2009). The interaction between the both studied factors was found to non-significant in all traits. The correlation amongst cotton individual characteristics was observed, it was checked that capsules per plant and lint percentage, monopodial branches per plant, root shoot ratio, root depth, seed weight per capsule and seed yield per plant were significantly and positively correlated (Savakumari, Mohan, 2009). The strong correlation between capsules per plant and monopodial branches per plant showed that the within desi cotton an assortment of traits may be helpful to enhance the cotton yields (Nadeem *et al.*, 2010; Brar *et al.*, 2015). The seed yield and lint percentage were also significantly correlated which showed that selection may give positive response in sense of lint percentage, monopodial branches, seed yield per plant, capsules per plant and seed weight per capsule (Jagtap *et al.*, 2010; Ghule *et al.*, 2013; Deho *et al.*, 2012; Brar *et al.*, 2015) to get superior yield of cotton.

Conclusion

Generally, all the genotypes were influenced by planting time under the dry coastal tropical environments. However best sowing time for the cultivation of desi cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) is 15. April. Growth traits and yield components of desi cotton (FDH-170) were boosted highly in a crate of planting at 15. April. Additional two planting dates of desi cotton such as 15. March and 1. April showed no significant results compared to the 15. April sowing.

Conflict of interest

The research is focus on desi cotton cultivation under dry tropical environment in the vicinity of the coast of the Arabian Sea in contrast to the sub tropical environment generally.

Author contributions

Involve in the proposal writing, conducting research, collection of data, interpretation of results and discussion and work as post graduate student. Approve the final manuscript: KJ. Planned research and provide guidelines throughout the study. Act as a supervisor and corresponding author: MW. Help in data arrangement and provide guideline during statistical analysis: DMB. Guide during proposal development and traits selection: TK. Contribute in application of statistical analysis: MAK Help in manuscript drafting: MR.

Interpret the data and critical revision: QA

References

- Ali, B., Iqbal, M.S., Shah, M.K.N., Shabbir G., Cheema, N.M. 2011. Genetic analysis forvarious traits in (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). – Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Biology, 10:217–220.
- Ali, L., Ali, M., Din, Q.M.U. 2003. Assessment of optimum nitrogen requirement and economics of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) crop for seed yield. – International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 3:493– 495.
- Ali, M.A., Abbas, A., Younas, M., Khan, T.M., Hassan, H.M. 2009. Genetic basis of some quantitative traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutm* L.). – Plant Omics. J., 2:91–97.
- Aslam, M., Sangh, A.H., Khalid, L., Javed, S. 2013. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and plant population on growth and yield of cotton (*Gossypum hirsutum* L.). – Asian Journal of Agricultural Biology, 1:127–132.
- Awan, H., Inayatullah, A., Mansoor, Khan, M.E.A. Khan, M.A. 2011. Effect of sowing time and plant spacing on

fiber quality and seed cotton yield. – Sarhad Journal of Agriculture, 27:1927–1931.

- Balasunbramaniyan, P., Palaniappan, S.P. 2007. Principles and practices of agronomy. Agrobios Jodhpur, India, 4:48–49.
- Bange, R.M., Milory, S.R. 2004. Effect of methods of irrigation and levels of phosphorus on desi cotton (*Gossypium arboretum* L.) in shallow water-table condition. – Journal of Cotton Research, 2:184–86.
- Bhaskar, K., Rao, S., Khan, M.R., Mendhe, P.N., Suryavanshi, M.R. 2005. Micro irrigation management in cotton. – Central Institute of Cotton Research, India. Technical Bulletin 31:45–98.
- Brar, A.S., Sarlach, R.S., Rathore, P. 2015. Response of Desi cotton (*Gossypium arboreum*) hybirds to spacing and fertilizer levels under irrigated condition. – Journal of Cotton Research Development, 29:79–80.
- Deho, Z.A., Laghari, S., Abro, S., Khanzada, S.D. 2012.
 Effect of sowing dates and Picking intervals at boll opening percent, yield and fiber quality of cotton cultivars.
 International Technological and Development, 31:288–293.
- Ghule, P.L., Palve, D.K., Jadhav, J.D., Dahiphale, V.V. 2013. Plant geometry and nutrient levels effect on productivity of Bt cotton. Journal of Agricultural Science, 9(2):486–494.
- GOP, 2014–15. Economic Survey of Pakistan, Finance and Economic Affairs Division, Islamabad, Pakistan, 21–30.
- Jagtap, D.N., Bhale, V.M. 2010. Effect of different plant spacing and nitrogen levels of desi cotton hybrid. – International Journal Cotton Improvement, 1:77–79.
- Jones, M.A., Wells, R. 1998. Fiber yield and quality of cotton grown at two divergent population densities. – Crop Science, 38:1190–1195.
- Manjunatha, M.J., Shalephyati, A.S., Koppalkar, B.G., Pujari, B.T. 2010. Yield and yield components, uptake of nutrients, quality parameter and ecomonics of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Genotypes as influenced by different plant densites. Karnataka. – Journal of Agricultural Science, 23:423–425.

- Munir, M.K., Tahir, M., Saleem, M.F., Yaseen, M. 2015. Growth and earliness response of cotton to row spacing and nitrogen management. – Journal of Animal and Plant Science, 25:729–738.
- Nadeem, M.A., Ali, A., Tahir, M., Naeem, M., Chaudhry, A.R., Ahmed, S. 2010. Effect on Nitrogen levels and plant spacing on growth and yield of cotton. – Pakistan Journal of Social Science, 8:121–124.
- Nehra, D.S., Singh, V., Kairon, M.S., Singh, K.P. 1986. Effect of plant population and nitrogen levels on desi cotton varieties. – Journal of Research, Haryana Agriculture University, 16(4):382–386.
- Saleem, M.F., Chema, M.A., Bilal, M.E., Anjum, S.E., Shahid, M.Q., Khurshid, I. 2011. Fiber quality of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) Cultivars under different Phosphorus levels. – Journal Annals Science, 21(1):26–30.
- Saranga, F.V. 2001. Genomic dissection of genotype environment interactions conferring, adaptation of cotton to arid conditions. – International Journal of Research, 11(8), 1988–1995.
- Savakumari, B., Mohan, J. 2009. Physiologi studies performance of BT and non BTcotton (*Gossypium hirsu-tum*) hybrids under different levels of nitrogen fertilizer.
 Annual of Agriculture Research, 29:111–115.
- Seilsepour, M., Mohammadi, S., Rashidi, M. 2012. Effect of different application rates of nitrogen on yield, yield components and quality of cotton in the arid land of Iran. – World Engineering and Agriculture Science Journal, 3:17–21.
- Steel, R.G.D., Torrie, J.H., Dicky, D.A. 1997. Principles and procedures of Statistics. Abiometrical Approch. – 3rd Ed. McGraw Hill Book Cooperation International, New York, pp. 400–428.
- Stepan, R.P., Prasad P.V., Sunita, K., Giri, S.N., Reddy, K.R. 2004. Influence of high temperature and breeding for heat tolerance in cotton. – Agriculture Science International, 93:313–323.
- Usman, K., Ayatullah, Khan, K., Khan, S.S. 2016. Genotype by sowing date interaction effects on cotton yield and quality in irrigated condition of Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan. – Pakistan Journal of Botany, 48:1933– 1944.